

Multiple test procedures using ordered p-values

-- A survey and personal experiences --

G. Hommel

Vienna, 13.05.2013



IMBEI Institut für Medizinische
Biometrie, Epidemiologie
und Informatik

JGU UNIVERSITÄTS**medizin.**
MAINZ



Outline

1. Introduction
2. Global tests
3. Multiple tests
 - 3.1 Control of FWER
 - 3.2 Control of FDR
 - 3.3 Other concepts
4. Weighted tests
5. Closing remarks

Introductory example I

- Group 1: diseased
- Group 2: control
- 12 genetic factors (yes/no)
- → 12 (2x2) tables
- Result:
 - 3 χ^2 values > 3.84
 - 9 χ^2 values < 3.84

„Is there anything hidden in the data ???“

Introductory example II

- What is the probability that 3 or more p-values are ≤ 0.05 ?
- When independent:
probability = 0.0196 (binomial distribution)
Here not realistic!
- Otherwise:
probability $\leq \frac{12}{3} \cdot 0.05 = 20\%$
(Markov inequality)
" = " is possible

The Rüger test

- n null hypotheses H_1, \dots, H_n
 - global hypothesis $H_0 = \cap \{H_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$
 - n p-values p_1, \dots, p_n
 - ordered p-values $p_{(1)} \leq p_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq p_{(n)}$
 - $k =$ number of significances to be achieved
- $$P\left(p_{(k)} \leq \frac{k \cdot \alpha}{n}\right) \leq \alpha \quad \text{under } H_0 \quad (\text{Rüger, 1978})$$
- " = " is possible
- Special case: $k = 1 \rightarrow$ Bonferroni method

Extensions of the Rüger test

$$P\left(p_{(1)} \leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \text{ or } p_{(2)} \leq \frac{2\alpha}{n} \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } p_{(n)} \leq \frac{n \cdot \alpha}{n}\right) \leq C_n \cdot \alpha$$

where $C_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$ (Hommel, 1978, 1983)

Extensions of the Rüger test

$$P\left(P_{(1)} \leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \text{ or } P_{(2)} \leq \frac{2\alpha}{n} \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } P_{(n)} \leq \frac{n \cdot \alpha}{n}\right) \leq C_n \cdot \alpha$$

where $C_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$ (Hommel, 1978, 1983)

More general:

$$0 \leq c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_n \text{ with } c_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n (c_i - c_{i-1}) \cdot \frac{n}{i} = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P(P_{(1)} \leq c_1 \text{ or } P_{(2)} \leq c_2 \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } P_{(n)} \leq c_n) \leq \alpha$$

" = α " is possible

(Röhmel/Streitberg, 1983, 1987) 7

Special cases of the Röhmel-Streitberg test

$$0 \leq c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_n \text{ with } c_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n (c_i - c_{i-1}) \cdot \frac{n}{i} = \alpha$$

- $c_1 = \dots = c_n = \frac{\alpha}{n}$ → Bonferroni
- $c_1 = \dots = c_{k-1} = 0, c_k = \dots = c_n = \frac{k \cdot \alpha}{n}$ → Rüger
- $c_1 = \frac{\alpha}{n \cdot C_n}, c_2 = \frac{2\alpha}{n \cdot C_n}, \dots, c_n = \frac{n \cdot \alpha}{n \cdot C_n}$ → Hommel

Practical experiences and simulations:
Bonferroni has highest power! (nearly always)

The Simes test

R.J.Simes (IBC Seattle 1986, Biometrika 1986)

$$P \left(P_{(1)} \leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \text{ or } P_{(2)} \leq \frac{2\alpha}{n} \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } P_{(n)} \leq \frac{n\alpha}{n} \right) \leq \alpha$$

9

The Simes test

R.J.Simes (IBC Seattle 1986, Biometrika 1986)

- p-values independent \Rightarrow

$$P \left(P_{(1)} \leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \text{ or } P_{(2)} \leq \frac{2\alpha}{n} \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } P_{(n)} \leq \frac{n\alpha}{n} \right) \leq \alpha$$

- Simulation with multivariate normal distribution or multivariate χ^2 distribution: level α also controlled
 \rightarrow numerous successor publications
- theoretical investigations:
 Samuel-Cahn (1996), Sarkar/Chang (1997),
 Sarkar (1998): MTP_2 condition

10

Multiple tests

Application of closure test principle:

Find for each $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ a „local“ test of $H_I = \bigcap \{H_i : i \in I\}$ (all H_i with $i \in I$ are true).

Consequence: Control of FWER (of multiple level) α , i.e. the probability of committing a type I error is at most α .

Possible local tests: Bonferroni, Rüger, Hommel, Röhmel-Streitberg

11

Closure tests with ordered p-values

- Bonferroni global tests: → procedure by Holm (1979)

$$\text{„SD } \left(\frac{\alpha}{n}, \frac{\alpha}{n-1}, \frac{\alpha}{n-2}, \dots, \frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha \right)\text{”}$$

- Rüger tests: some problems (see Hommel, 1986)
- Hommel tests (short-cut): Compute

$$j = \max \left\{ i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : p_{(n-i+k)} > \frac{k\alpha}{i \cdot C_i} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, i \right\}$$

If this set is empty, reject all H_i ; otherwise reject all H_i with

$$p_i \leq \frac{\alpha}{j \cdot C_j} \quad (\text{Hommel, 1986}).$$

- Röhmel-Streitberg tests: Generalisation possible under an additional condition (Bernhard et al., 2004)

12

Multiple Simes tests

Determine

$$j = \max \left\{ i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : p_{(n-i+k)} > \frac{k\alpha}{i} \text{ für } k = 1, \dots, i \right\}$$

If this set is empty, reject all H_i ; otherwise all H_i with

$$p_i \leq \frac{\alpha}{j} \quad (\text{Hommel, 1988}).$$

Conservative version:

$$\text{Step-up} \left(\frac{\alpha}{n}, \frac{\alpha}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha \right) \quad (\text{Hochberg, 1988})$$

Observe: Additional conditions on dependence structure needed for all these tests!

Analysis of genetic data

- Genetic-epidemiological studies (many markers)
 - $n = 20\text{-}100000$
- Microarray studies (many genes or oligonucleotides)
 - $n = 200\text{-}25000$

Control of multiple level too restrictive !

Control of FDR I

- Less restrictive control with False Discovery Rate (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995)

	H_i retained	H_i rejected	
True H_i	U	V	n_0
Wrong H_i	T	S	$n - n_0$
	$n - R$	R	n

- $FDR = E(Q)$, with
$$Q = \begin{cases} V/R & R > 0 \\ 0 & R = 0 \end{cases}$$

Control of FDR II

- Application of “explorative” Simes test
- Determine
$$k = \max \left\{ 1 \leq i \leq n \mid p_{(i)} \leq \frac{i}{n} \alpha \right\} .$$
- Reject all hypotheses belonging to $p_{(1)}, \dots, p_{(k)}$
- Multiple level is not controlled!
(Type I error probability can even tend to 1)
- Controls FDR at level α (even at level $\frac{n_0}{n} \cdot \alpha$)
for independent p-values

Control of FDR III

Explorative Simes procedure for dependent p-values: see Benjamini/Yekutieli (2001)
 „positive regression dependence“

Other possibility:

Determine $k = \max \left\{ 1 \leq i \leq n \mid p_{(i)} \leq \frac{i}{(n \cdot C_i)} \alpha \right\}$.

Reject all hypotheses belonging to $p_{(1)}, \dots, p_{(k)}$.
 → always control of FDR!

Further developments

- $FDR \leq \frac{n_0}{n} \cdot \alpha$:
 Estimate n_0 = number of true null hypotheses
- Other concepts of error rates?
 - Control of V/R directly (False exceedance rate)
 - Control of V („k-FWER“)
 see Victor (1982), Hommel/Hoffmann (1988),
 Korn et al. (2004), van der Laan et al. (2004),
 Lehmann/Romano (2005)
 - ... and others ...

Weighted tests

weights $w_1, \dots, w_n \geq 0$ with $\sum w_i = 1$

weighted p-values $q_i = p_i / w_i, i = 1, \dots, n$

Two types of ordering:

- Type I : $p_{(1)} \leq p_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq p_{(n)}$
- Type II : $q_{(1)} \leq q_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq q_{(n)}$

bounds b_1, \dots, b_n

Global test type I: Reject H_0 if $p_{(i)} \leq b_i$ for at least one i .

Global test type II: Reject H_0 if $q_{(i)} \leq b_i$ for at least one i .

Step-down tests: Generalization of Holm (1979).

Step-up tests: Generalization of Hochberg (1988).

Weighted Simes tests

Type I: Benjamini/Hochberg (1997)

Similar properties as unweighted Simes test

$n=2$: level α test under prd condition

(Brannath et al., 2009);

→ consonant

Type II: Hochberg/Liberman (1994)

different rejection region as for type I

less power for high correlations

$n=2$: → not consonant

Step-down procedures with weights

Type II: Classical weighted Holm procedure
(Holm, 1979)
no conceptual drawbacks

Type I: Benjamini/Hochberg, 1997
2 problems:

- tied p-values
- p-inconsistency, i.e. rejection pattern not monotone in p-values

21

Step-up procedures with weights

Type I: Tamhane/Liu (2008)
3 problems:

- tied p-values
- p-inconsistency
- α -control for independent p-values:
conjectured, but no complete proof

Type II: Does not work at all (with bounds of weighted Holm procedure) (Tamhane/Liu)
Other bounds??

22

FDR-controlling procedures with weights

- Consider explorative Simes procedure (Benjamini/Hochberg, 1995) = step-up version of weighted Simes tests → no conceptual problems!
- Control of $FDR \leq \alpha$? (under independence)
 Type II: yes (Genovese et al., Biometrika 2006)
 Type I: control of $WFDR$ proven (B/H, 1997)
 control of usual FDR conjectured
- For equal weights: $FDR \leq n_0/n \cdot \alpha$
 (n_0 = number of true null hypotheses).
 Does not remain true for unequal weights.

23

Further remarks

Only considered: cut-off tests

(„Schrankentests“, Röhmel/Streitberg, 1987)

Other functions of ordered p-values?

Maurer/Mellein (1988): linear minmax tests

e.g. „Reject H_0 if $(1-\alpha)p_{(1)} + \alpha p_{(n)} \leq \alpha$ “

closure test easy

but only valid for independent p-values

24



Published in

Hommel G, Bretz F, Maurer W.

Multiple hypotheses testing based on ordered p values--a historical survey with applications to medical research.

J Biopharm Stat. 2011 Jul;21(4):595-609. doi: 10.1080/10543406.2011.552879.